

## **EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT**

Company/Mine: Andalex Resources, Inc/Centennial Project Permit #: C/007/019  NOV # 10002				
A.	<u>SERIOUSNESS</u>			
	1.	What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to reference list of event below and remember that <b>the event is NO the violation</b> . Mark and explain each event.	o the DOGM T the same as	
Explar incide	nation:	<ul> <li>a. Activity outside the approved permit area.</li> <li>b. Injury to the public (public safety).</li> <li>c. Damage to property.</li> <li>d. Conducting activities without appropriate approvals.</li> <li>e. Environmental harm.</li> <li>f. Water pollution.</li> <li>g. Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential.</li> <li>h. Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative of i. No event occurred as a result of the violation.</li> <li>j. Other.</li> </ul> Failure to receive Division approval prior to (excavation) initiating econstruction of a new ventilation fan.		
	2.	Has the even occurred? Yes		
		If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what i of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).	s the probability	
Explanation: Excavation has occurred where the current noncoal storage area is approved. The excavation was exploratory to find a solid sandstone member in which to locate a raise bore above existing entries incident to construction of a new ventilation fan.				
	3.	Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? No		
		If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovere inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it with the disturbed and/or permit area.	d by a DOGM	
Explan	nation:			

В.	<u>DEGI</u>	<b>REE OF FAULT</b> (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss).
		Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.
Explai	nation:	
	$\boxtimes$	Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.
since t	<u>he exca</u>	The violation was an indifference to DOGM regulations. The operator felt that vation activities were within the disturbed area and that all runoff was treated that oval was not necessary.
		If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited.
Explar	nation:	
	$\boxtimes$	Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?
Explan incider	ation: at to the	Failure to receive Division approval prior to (excavation) initiating activities construction of a new ventilation fan.
		Has DOGM or OSM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken.
Explan	ation:	
C.	<u>GOOI</u>	<u> PAITH</u>

In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation 1. must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.

Explanation: The permittee must submit a complete amendment to the Division for the construction of the new ventilation fan and receive Division approval by December 4, 2006.

2.	Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve
	compliance.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_

3. Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? No If yes, explain.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_

Karl R. Houskeeper
Authorized Representative

Karl Hawkaper Signature

October 26, 2006
Date

O:\007019.CEN\Compliance\2006\NOV#10002inspstate.doc